

APPLICATION NO.

10/652,814

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2748	
08/29/2003	Gretchen M. Unger	3193.01US03		
04/24/2006		EXAMINER		

24113 7590 04/24/2006

PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1633

POPA, ILEANA

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/6	52,814	UNGER, GRETCH	UNGER, GRETCHEN M.			
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Ilean	a Popa	1633				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears o	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN 1975	ILING DATE C 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. Itory period will apply ill, by statute, cause t	F THIS COMMUNICATION no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
•	•	o)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-132</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)汉	Claim(s) 66-132 are subject to restricti	on and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		,				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	. 5. 52. 66,	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/652,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-65 have been cancelled.

Claims 66-132 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 66, 68-86, 112, 113, and 115, drawn to a plurality of particles comprising a bioactive component and a cell recognition component, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 67 and 87-102, drawn to a plurality of particles comprising a surfactant associated with a bioactive component, and a polymer or a cell recognition component, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claims 103-111, 114, and 116-132, drawn to a method of delivering a bioactive component to target cells, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- 3. Should Group I be elected for prosecution, a further species election is required as follows:

The presently pending claims 68-81, 84, 85, and 113 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

- A. Distinct species of bioactive components (claims 68-81);
- B. Distinct species of cell recognition component (claims 84 and 85);
- C. Distinct species of forms (claim 113).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group A to C, even though this requirement is traversed. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Should Group II be elected for prosecution, a further species election is required as follows:

The presently pending claims 95-101 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

- Distinct species of polymers (claims 95-101);

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Should Group III be elected for prosecution, a further species election is required as follows:

Page 4

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- combination of bioactive components (claim 124), detection agent (claim 125), peptides, proteins or carbohydrates (claim 126), nucleic acid (claim 127).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 103-111, 114, 116-123, and 128-132 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. The inventions of Groups I and II are patentable distinct because they are drawn to compositions that have different structure and biochemical composition and therefore they require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

The inventions of Groups I/II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be practiced with liposomes or naked DNA, for example.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

The species election is proper because they require different searches in the patent and nonpatent literature, and a search and examination of anything more than one of the above designated species would be a serious burden for the examiner.

7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ileana Popa whose telephone number is 571-272-5546. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,814

Art Unit: 1633

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ileana Popa

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1633